

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/832,435	MORRIS, MARTIN
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Jean B. Corrielus	2637

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Michael Cruz.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 February 2006

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Interview:

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


#### Part II.

##### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

#### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to advance prosecution, Examiner places a phone call to applicant's rep, to discuss a newly found prior art to Zarembowitch related to claims 3 and 18. However Applicant's rep. declines to do so, citing time constraint, and requested that an office action be issued instead.